



CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

November 12, 2003

H.R. 2751 **GAO Human Capital Reform Act of 2003**

*As ordered reported by the House Committee on Governmental Reform
on November 6, 2003*

SUMMARY

H.R. 2751 would authorize the General Accounting Office (GAO) to modify its personnel and workforce practices to allow greater flexibility in determining pay increases, pay retention rules, and other compensation matters. The bill also would permanently extend GAO's authority to offer separation (buyout) payments and early retirement to employees who voluntarily leave GAO. Finally, H.R. 2751 would rename GAO as the Government Accountability Office.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 2751 would increase direct spending for retirement annuities and related health benefits by about \$1 million in fiscal year 2004, by \$19 million over the 2004-2008 period, and by \$40 million over the 2004-2013 period. Several provisions of the bill could affect GAO employee compensation costs, but the net budgetary effect of such provisions would depend on how GAO exercises its new authorities and on whether future agency appropriations are adjusted to reflect any savings or costs. Finally, we expect that any additional discretionary costs associated with changing the agency's name would not be significant.

H.R. 2751 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated impact of H.R. 2751 on direct spending is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget function 800 (general government).

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars									
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING										
Estimated Budget Authority	1	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
Estimated Outlays	1	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4

BASIS OF ESTIMATE

Direct Spending

H.R. 2751 would give GAO permanent authority to offer retirement to employees who voluntarily leave the agency early. GAO's existing buyout authority, which will expire on December 31, 2003, allows the agency to offer certain employees a lump sum payment of up to \$25,000 to voluntarily leave the agency. In addition, certain qualified employees who leave (whether they collect a separation payment or not) are entitled to receive immediate retirement annuities earlier than they would have otherwise. CBO estimates that extending this authority would increase direct spending by \$1 million in 2004, by \$19 million over the 2004-2008 period, and by \$40 million over the 2004-2013 period.

Based on information provided by GAO about use of its early retirement authority over the past several years, CBO estimates that each year about 35 agency employees would begin receiving retirement benefits three years earlier than they would have under current law. Inducing some employees to retire early results in higher-than-expected benefits from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund (CSRDF). CBO estimates that the additional retirement benefits would increase direct spending by \$1 million in 2004, by \$16 million over the 2004-2008 period, and by \$32 million over the 2004-2013 period.

Extending GAO's buyout and early retirement authority also would increase direct spending for federal retiree health benefits. Many employees who retire early would continue to be eligible for coverage under the Federal Employees' Health Benefits (FEHB) program. The government's share of the premium for retirees is classified as mandatory spending. Because many of those accepting the buyouts under the bill would have retired later under current law, mandatory spending on FEHB premiums would increase. CBO estimates these additional benefits would increase direct spending by less than \$500,000 in 2004, by \$3 million over the 2004-2008 period, and by \$8 million over the 2004-2013 period.

Spending Subject to Appropriation

The authorities provided by H.R. 2751 would allow GAO to create a performance-based employee compensation system to govern basic pay adjustments, pay retention for employees affected by reductions in force, relocation reimbursements, and annual leave accruals beginning in fiscal year 2006. (Under existing law, GAO is required to follow personnel management policies determined by the Office of Personnel Management.) Implementing the new authorities that would be provided by the bill could affect GAO's total costs of providing employee compensation, but CBO cannot predict any cost or saving associated with these new authorities, or the net effect of all such changes on the federal budget. Ultimately, the net budgetary effect of the proposed authorities would depend on the features of the compensation system adopted by GAO and on how the agency applies that new system to individual employees. Moreover, any resulting savings or costs would only be realized if the agency's annual appropriations are adjusted accordingly.

Providing GAO with the option of providing voluntary separation payments could also increase GAO's costs, but CBO estimates that any new costs would average less than \$500,000 annually over the 2004-2013 period. Section 2 of the bill would allow GAO to offer certain employees payments of up to \$25,000 to voluntarily leave the agency. The buyout program also requires that GAO make a deposit amounting to 45 percent of each buyout recipient's basic salary toward the CSRDF. Unlike an increase in retirement benefits, these two payments would be from the agency's discretionary budget and are thus subject to appropriation. Since GAO's current buyout authority was first authorized in October 2000, no one at the agency has received a buyout payment. CBO therefore expects that relatively few employees would receive a buyout payment over the next 10 years and that the cost of any buyout payments and required deposits toward the CSRDF would be negligible in any given year.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT

H.R. 2751 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

PREVIOUS CBO COST ESTIMATE

On November 4, 2003, CBO transmitted a cost estimate for S. 1522, the GAO Human Capital Reform Act of 2003, as ordered reported on October 22, 2003, by the Senate

Committee on Governmental Affairs. S. 1522 and H.R. 2751 are very similar, and their estimated costs are the same.

ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:

Federal Costs: Ellen Hays, Geoffrey Gerhardt, and Deborah Reis
Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Sarah Puro
Impact on the Private Sector: Selena Caldera

ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:

Peter H. Fontaine
Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis